

Student:

Title:

**Wright: Final Round**

*Content/Organization*

1. Topic and specific focus were appropriate for the audience (Hamilton community).

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

2. Clear organization was followed consistently throughout the speech.

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

3. Speech consistently used evidence that was appropriate for the topic (e.g., narrative, statistics) and was cited as appropriate.

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

4. Language use was appropriate for audience (e.g., avoided jargon) and occasion.

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

5. Logical connections were clearly made between ideas within the speech.

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

*Delivery*

6. Speaker's use of vocal qualities (volume, pitch, emphasis) enhanced the presentation.

Rarely (1)	Occasionally (2)	About half the time (3)	Usually (4)	Always (5)
---------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------------

7. Nonverbal behaviors (gestures and eye contact) enhanced the presentation.

Rarely (1)	Occasionally (2)	About half the time (3)	Usually (4)	Always (5)
---------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------------

8. Speaker was fluent (e.g., avoided fillers such as "um") and conversational.

Rarely (1)	Occasionally (2)	About half the time (3)	Usually (4)	Always (5)
---------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------------

*Overall Impression*

9. To what extent was the speaker informative? That is, did the speaker provide you with clear information about a topic without trying to persuade you to agree or take action?

Rarely (1)	Occasionally (2)	About half the time (3)	Usually (4)	Always (5)
---------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------------

10. Was the speaker poised in responding to questions?

Rarely (1)	Occasionally (2)	About half the time (3)	Usually (4)	Always (5)
---------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------------

In your opinion, is this speaker the best of the finalists for this competition?

YES

NO

*In the event of a points-based tie, this question will be used as a tie breaker.  
Further ties will be broken using questions 9 and 10.*

Student:

Title:

**Wright: Preliminary Round**

*Content/Organization*

1. Topic and specific focus were appropriate for the audience (Hamilton community).

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

2. Clear organization was followed consistently throughout the speech.

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

3. Speech consistently used evidence that was appropriate for the topic (e.g., narrative, statistics) and was cited as appropriate.

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

4. Language use was appropriate for audience (e.g., avoided jargon) and occasion.

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

5. Logical connections were clearly made between ideas within the speech.

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

*Delivery*

6. Speaker's use of vocal qualities (volume, pitch, emphasis) enhanced the presentation.

Rarely (1)	Occasionally (2)	About half the time (3)	Usually (4)	Always (5)
---------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------------

7. Nonverbal behaviors (gestures and eye contact) enhanced the presentation.

Rarely (1)	Occasionally (2)	About half the time (3)	Usually (4)	Always (5)
---------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------------

8. Speaker was fluent (e.g., avoided fillers such as "um") and conversational.

Rarely (1)	Occasionally (2)	About half the time (3)	Usually (4)	Always (5)
---------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------------

*Overall Impression*

9. To what extent was the speaker informative? That is, did the speaker provide you with clear information about a topic without trying to persuade you to agree or take action?

Rarely (1)	Occasionally (2)	About half the time (3)	Usually (4)	Always (5)
---------------	---------------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------------

10. The speaker was engaging throughout the presentation.

Disagree (1)	Somewhat disagree (2)	Neutral (3)	Somewhat agree (4)	Agree (5)
-----------------	--------------------------	----------------	-----------------------	--------------

Is this speaker within your top 2 for this competition?      YES      NO

*In the event of a points-based tie, this question will be used as a tie breaker.  
Further ties will be broken using questions 9 and 10.*